RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO.1135 OF 2020

Shri Gopal Krishan Chehal, (9023535040) # J-8/12, Gobind Colony, RAJPURA, Distt. Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o The District Education Officer(SE) Patiala.

First Appellate Authority

O/o The District Education Officer(SE) Patiala.

...Respondents

...Appellant

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX/TELEPHONE

Present : Gopal Krishan Chehal, the appellant Ms. Gurmit Kaur, Superintendent-cum-PIO, on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER:

Advocate Gopal Krishan Chehal has demanded the following information

regarding district level chess tournaments conducted in September 2019 (from 18.09.2019 to

21.09.2019) from the PIO O/o The DEO(SE), Patiala, vide his application dated 22.10.2019 :-

- 1. Which rules were followed in chess tournaments to select district team?
- 2. What is the qualification of convener or game coordinators in the field of chess by which chess tournaments are conducted? And what designation they have?
- 3. Why trials for selecting a player for district team were not conducted?
- 4. What was the sitting arrangement for players while tournament?
- 5. What rule was followed to start the tournament for teams to play each other?
- 6. What is Seeding? Why it was not used to select player for team.
- 7. What is the area of room where chess tournament was conducted?
- 8. How many players played chess at a time in a room?
- 9. Is chess sets and chess clocks are provided to the players?
- 10. Please provides Pairing list and results round by round and list of selected team members with name and name of the school.
- 11. How pairing of teams were done? By Swiss system(computer) or by manual(Chit system) or any other system please explain?
- 12. What amount paid to the building owner, where chess tournaments were conducted?

When he did not receive the same from the PIO, he filed his first appeal with FAA on 21.12.2019. On getting no information from both the quarters, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 13.03.2020.

2. The appellant states that the sought for information has not been supplied by the Respondents till date.

Conted...2/p...

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH. Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



-02-

APPEAL CASE NO.1135 OF 2020

3. The Respondent-PIO states that the demanded information is in question form and not specific. She further states that the requisite information i.e answering all the questions of the RTI, has already been supplied to the appellant vide their letter No.AEO(Sports)/2019/319, dated 28.11.2019. She also states that there is no provision in the RTI Act 2005 to answer the questions by quoting judgment/order pronounced by CIC in the case of Shri G. Senthil Kumar Vs. Directorate of Health and Family Welfare Services (CIC/SS/A/2013/000838-YA) that Interogative Question does not fall in the ambit of RTI Act 2005.

4. In view of the above, I agree with the contention of the Respondent and no more action is called for in this case. As such, **the case is disposed of and closed.** Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.10.2020 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



APPEAL CASE NO.1566 OF 2020

Versus

Shri Kuldip Singh (8427460800) # 271, 1st Floor, Sector 78, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

Public Information Officer,

O/o The Director Public Instructions(SE), Establishment Branch-1, Punjab, PSEB Complex, Phase 8, SAS Nagar(Mohali)

First Appellate Authority

O/o The Director Public Instructions(SE), Establishment Branch-1, Punjab, PSEB Complex, Phase 8, SAS Nagar(Mohali). ...Appellant

...Respondents

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX/TELEPHONE

Present : None on behalf of both the parties.

ORDER:

Shri Kuldip Singh has demanded the following information in his RTI application from the PIO O/o

DPI(SE) SAS Nagar(Mohali), vide his application dated 14.02.2020:-

- A- Following information be provided to complete the enquiry of complaint made to the Secretary, PSEB, Mohali on 10.10.2019 by Shri Satish Chander against the appellant:-
 - 1. Copy of all notings and drafts regarding this hearing
 - 2. Copy of letter from CM office to Shri Satish Chander to complete the enquiry against the appellant.
- B- Besides the above, regarding complaint of Shri Satish Chander, the Personnel Department(PCS Branch)had asked the Education Department to submit service record and their clarificationfor the same, the following information be supplied :-
 - 1. Copy of letter received from Personnel Department.
 - 2. Copy of reply by Education Department in regard to the letter of Personnel Department.
 - 3. Copy of Noting of Action Taken Report by the office.

When he did not receive the same from the PIO, he filed his first appeal with FAA on 19.04.2020. On getting no information from both the guarters, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 17.06.2020.

2. Both parties are absent today.

3. However, letter No.15/8-2017-Estt.-1(6)/2020213333-34 from the Respondents addressed to the appellant with a copy to Commission is received in the Commission vide Diary No.11801, dated 11.09.2020 stating therein that the requisite information is supplied to the appellant. The letter is taken on record.

4. The appellant is contacted on phone, who, in turn, states that he has received the requisite information and he is satisfied with it. He has also shown his willingness to close the case.

5. Keeping the above in view, no more intervention of the Commission is required in this case and **hence, the case is disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh 22.10.2020 Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner, Punjab

RED CROSS BUILDING, NEAR ROSE GARDEN,

SECTOR 16, CHANDIGARH.

Ph: 0172-2864118, Email: - psic28@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. Ajit Singh, (9464533987) S/o Sh. Tarlok Singh, House No. 289, New Patel Nagar, Nabha, District Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Sangrur.

First Appellate Authority,

O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Sangrur.

...Respondents

... Appellant

HEARD THROUGH CISCO WEBEX - VC/TELEPHONE

PRESENT: Shri Ajit Singh, Appellant.

Shin Ajit Shigh, Appellant. Sh. Deen Dyal Sharma, Supdt-cum-PIO, Vikas Kaushal, Junior Assistant, DEO(EE) Sangrur and Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Superintendent O/o DEO (SE) Patiala for the Respondents.

ORDER:

Shri Ajit Singh has demanded the following information from the PIO O/o The DEO(EE), Sangrur, vide his application dated 24.06.2019 :-

"Copy of action taken report by the DEO(Primary) and status report on complaint dated 19.01.2018 made by the appellant Shri Ajit Singh, Lecturer English, DIET, Nabha, now at Khanori Kalan(Sangrur) against Principal Archna Mahajan, District Institute of Education & Training (DIET), Nabha:.

When he did not receive the same from the PIO, he filed his first appeal with FAA on 26.07.2019. On getting no information from both the quarters, he filed his second appeal with the Commission on 12.09.2019.

2. Both the Respondent-PIOs in this case state that the original affidavit has already been supplied to the appellant by registered post.

3. The appellant confirms the receipt of original Affidavits from both the Respondent-PIOs.

4. In view of the above, **the case is disposed of and closed**. Copies of the order be sent to the

parties.

Sd/-(Hem Inder Singh) State Information Commissioner

Chandigarh 22.10.2020 Copy for information and necessary action to: -

- 1) Smt. Harinder Kaur, District Education Officer (S.E.), Patiala.
- 2) Sh. Deen Dayal Sharma, PIO – cum – Superintendent, O/o District Education Officer (Elementary), Sangrur.
- Ms. Gurmeet Kaur, Public Information Officer, O/o District Education Officer (Secondary), Patiala.

